

# Commutative Algebra

## Fall 2013, Lecture 1

Karen Yeats

Scribe: Mahdieh Malekian

September 6, 2013

### A Bit of Universal Algebra

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be a nonempty set. We define

$$A^0 = \{\emptyset\},$$

$$A^n = \text{set of } n\text{-tuples of elements of } A.$$

An  $n$ -ary function (or  $n$ -ary operation) on  $A$  is a function  $A^n \rightarrow A$ , where  $n$  is the *arity* of the function.

**Note.** A 0-ary function just indicates a constant in  $A$ .

**Definition.** A *language* or *type* is a set  $\mathcal{F}$  of function symbols each with an associated arity. An *algebra of type*  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ordered pair  $\mathcal{A} = (A, F)$ , where  $A$  is a nonempty set and  $F$  is a set of functions on  $A$  indexed by  $\mathcal{F}$  and with matching arities.

An *algebraic structure* is an ordered triple  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \mathcal{F}, d)$ , where  $(A, \mathcal{F})$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$  algebra and  $d$  is a set of identities using  $\mathcal{F}$  and '=' symbol and variable symbols, where we interpret an identity  $\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  as the sentence  $\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \dots \forall x_n, \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . So we will have no quantifier except outer  $\forall$ 's. The *signature* of an algebraic structure or  $\mathcal{F}$  algebra is  $\mathcal{F}$ . (Our book defines it as  $(\mathcal{F}, d)$ , but then isn't always consistent.)

**Example 1** *Groups.*

$$\begin{aligned} (G, (\cdot, {}^{-1}, 1), (x.(y.z) = (x.y).z, \\ x.x^{-1} = 1 = x^{-1}.x, \\ x.1 = 1.x = x)). \end{aligned}$$

And for abelian groups we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (G, (\cdot, {}^{-1}, 1), (x.(y.z) = (x.y).z, \\ x.x^{-1} = 1 = x^{-1}.x, \\ x.1 = 1.x = x, \\ x.y = y.x)) \end{aligned}$$

**Example 2** *Rings.*

$$\begin{aligned} (R, (+, \cdot, -, 0, 1), (R, (+, -, 0))) \text{ is an abelian group,} \\ x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z, \\ x \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot x = x, \\ x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z, \\ (y + z) \cdot x = y \cdot x + z \cdot x. \end{aligned}$$

**Note.** Signature does not need to be finite.

**Example 3** *Let  $F$  be a field. Vector spaces over  $F$  are  $(V, (+, -, 0, (m_\lambda)_{\lambda \in F}))$  satisfying  $(V, (+, -, 0))$  is an abelian group, and where  $m_\lambda$  is scalar multiplication by  $\lambda$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \lambda \in F, m_\lambda \cdot (x + y) = m_\lambda \cdot x + m_\lambda \cdot y, \\ \forall \lambda, \mu \in F, m_\lambda(m_\mu(x)) = m_{\lambda\mu}(x) \text{ and } m_\lambda(x) + m_\mu(x) = m_{\lambda+\mu}(x). \end{aligned}$$

As usual by abuse of notation the underlying set and the structure will have the same name.

**Definition.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two  $\mathcal{F}$  algebras. Then a function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a *homomorphism* if for any  $n$ -ary operation  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\phi^B(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n)) = f(\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n)) \quad \forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in A,$$

where  $\phi^B$  means  $\phi$  as interpreted in  $B$ .

Let  $A$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$  algebra. A *substructure* (or a *subalgebra*) of  $A$  is a subset of  $A$  which is closed under all the operations of the signature.

**Note.** By their structure, all identities of  $A$  hold automatically in a substructure.

An *isomorphism* is a homomorphism which is one-to-one and onto.

In our setup the above requirements for a homomorphism to be an isomorphism are sufficient. If, however, you extend the definitions to allow relations in  $F$  as well as functions then you need to require also that the inverse map is a homomorphism.

To see that in our setup the requirements are actually sufficient, suppose  $f$  is a homomorphism and a set-bijection. Take  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $n$ -ary and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$  such that  $f(a_i) = b_i$ . Let  $g = f^{-1}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\phi^A(g(b_1), \dots, g(b_n))) &= f(\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n)) \\ &= \phi^B(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n)) \\ &= \phi^B(b_1, \dots, b_n). \end{aligned}$$

So  $\phi^A(g(b_1), \dots, g(b_n)) = g(\phi^B(b_1, \dots, b_n))$ .

**Definition.** An *embedding* or *monomorphism* is a one-to-one homomorphism. An *epimorphism* is an onto homomorphism.

The next thing we turn to is how to take quotients.

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$  algebra, and  $\theta$  an equivalence relation on  $A$ , and suppose for  $\forall \phi \in \mathcal{F}$  which is  $n$ -ary if  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in A$  with  $a_i \theta b_i$ ,

$$\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n) \theta \phi^A(b_1, \dots, b_n).$$

Then we say  $\theta$  is a *congruence* on  $A$ .

The point is that the compatibility property in the definition above introduces an  $\mathcal{F}$  algebra structure on  $A/\theta$  as follows

$$\phi^{A/\theta}(a_1/\theta, \dots, a_n/\theta) = \phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n)/\theta,$$

and this is well defined by the property.

Another way to look at the compatibility property is: First view  $A \times A$  as an  $\mathcal{F}$  algebra coordinatewise, i.e.,

$$\phi^{A \times A}((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)) = (\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n), \phi^A(b_1, \dots, b_n)).$$

Then, if view  $\theta \subseteq A \times A$  then the compatibility property says  $\theta$  is a substructure. Take  $(a_i, b_i) \in \theta$  (i.e.  $a_i \theta b_i$ ), then

$$\phi^{\theta \subseteq A \times A}((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)) = (\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n), \phi^A(b_1, \dots, b_n))$$

is in  $\theta$  iff  $\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n) \theta \phi^A(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ . So the compatibility property is equivalent to  $\theta$  being closed.

**Proposition 1** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $\mathcal{F}$  algebras,  $f : A \rightarrow B$  a homomorphism.

Let  $C$  be a substructure of  $A$  then  $f(C)$  is a substructure of  $B$ .

Let  $D$  be a substructure of  $B$ , then  $f^{-1}(D)$  is a substructure of  $A$ .

**Proof.** Take  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ , which is  $n$ -ary, and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in C$ . We have

$$\phi^B(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n)) = f(\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n)) \in f(C).$$

For the other part, take  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in D$ . For any  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  with  $f(a_i) = b_i$  we have

$$\underbrace{\phi^B(b_1, \dots, b_n)}_{\in D} = f(\underbrace{\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n)}_{\in f^{-1}(D)}).$$

□

**Definition.** For  $f : A \rightarrow B$  as above we define *kernel*  $f$  to be

$$\ker(f) = \{(a, b) \in A^2 : f(a) = f(b)\}.$$

**Proposition 2** For  $f : A \rightarrow B$  as above,  $\ker(f)$  is a congruence on  $A$ .

**Proof.** First note that  $\ker(f)$  is an  $n$ -ary equivalence relation since '=' is. Now, take  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ , which is an  $n$ -ary, and  $(a_i, b_i) \in \ker(f), 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n)) &= \phi^B(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n)) \\ &= \phi^B(f(b_1), \dots, f(b_n)) \\ &= f(\phi^A(b_1, \dots, b_n)). \end{aligned}$$

So  $(\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n), \phi^A(b_1, \dots, b_n)) \in \ker(f)$ , so  $\ker(f)$  is a congruence.  $\square$   
Therefore,  $A/\ker(f)$  makes sense as an object. Further, for any congruence  $\theta$  we have the natural map

$$\begin{aligned} \nu : A &\longrightarrow A/\theta \\ a &\longmapsto a/\theta, \end{aligned}$$

and this is a homomorphism by definition.

**Theorem 1 (First Isomorphism Theorem, universal algebra version)**

Let  $A, B$  be  $\mathcal{F}$  algebras, and  $f : A \rightarrow B$  a homomorphism. Then there is a monomorphism  $g : A/\ker(f) \rightarrow B$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ & \searrow \nu & \nearrow g \\ & A/\ker(f) & \end{array}$$

commutes (i.e.  $f = g \circ \nu$ ), and, in particular, if  $f$  is onto then  $g$  is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** Try  $g(a/\ker(f)) = f(a)$ . If this is well defined then  $f = g \circ \nu$ .  $g$  is indeed well defined, as if  $a$  and  $b$  are in the same  $\ker(f)$  equivalence class,  $a/\ker(f) = b/\ker(f)$ , or, equivalently,  $(a, b) \in \ker(f)$  or  $f(a) = f(b)$ . This, also, gives that  $g$  is one-to-one.

To check that  $g$  is a homomorphism, take  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$  an  $n$ -ary,  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\phi^{\frac{A}{\ker(f)}}\left(\frac{a_1}{\ker(f)}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{\ker(f)}\right)\right) &= g\left(\frac{\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{\ker(f)}\right) \\ &= f(\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n)) \\ &= \phi^B(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n)) \\ &= \phi^B\left(g\left(\frac{a_1}{\ker(f)}\right), \dots, g\left(\frac{a_n}{\ker(f)}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Definition.** Let  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$  be congruences of  $A$  and suppose  $\theta \subseteq \gamma$  as subsets of  $A \times A$ . Then let

$$\frac{\gamma}{\theta} = \left\{ \left( \frac{a}{\theta}, \frac{b}{\theta} \right) \in \left( \frac{A}{\theta} \right)^2 : (a, b) \in \gamma \right\}.$$

**Proposition 3** With  $\theta, \gamma$  as above,  $\frac{\gamma}{\theta}$  is a congruence on  $\frac{A}{\theta}$ .

**Proof.** Take  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $n$ -ary, and  $\left(\frac{a_i}{\theta}, \frac{b_i}{\theta}\right) \in \frac{\gamma}{\theta}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $(a_i, b_i) \in \gamma$  by definition. So

$$(\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n), \phi^A(b_1, \dots, b_n)) \in \gamma,$$

since  $\gamma$  is a congruence. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \phi^{\frac{A}{\theta}} \left( \frac{a_1}{\theta}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{\theta} \right), \phi^{\frac{A}{\theta}} \left( \frac{b_1}{\theta}, \dots, \frac{b_n}{\theta} \right) \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{\theta}, \frac{\phi^A(b_1, \dots, b_n)}{\theta} \right) \\ &\in \frac{\gamma}{\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2 (Second Isomorphism Theorem, universal algebra version)**

Let  $A$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$  algebra,  $\theta \subseteq \gamma$  congruence on  $A$ . Then there is an isomorphism

$$\frac{\left(\frac{A}{\theta}\right)}{\left(\frac{\gamma}{\theta}\right)} \longrightarrow \frac{A}{\gamma}$$

given by  $f \left( \frac{\left(\frac{a}{\theta}\right)}{\left(\frac{\gamma}{\theta}\right)} \right) = \frac{a}{\gamma}$ .

**Proof.** Similar to the others. □

The Third Isomorphism Theorem is a bit more technical. For  $A$  an  $\mathcal{F}$  algebra,  $\theta$  congruence on  $A$ , and  $B$  a subset of  $A$ , define  $B^\theta = \{a \in A : B \cap \frac{a}{\theta} \neq \emptyset\}$ , and  $\theta|_B = \theta \cap B^2 = \theta$  restricted to  $B$ .

**Proposition 4**  $B^\theta$  is a substructure of  $A$  and  $\theta|_B$  is a congruence of  $B$ .

**Proof.** The second is easy. For the first, take  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $n$ -ary, and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in B^\theta$ . Then I can take  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$  such that  $(a_i, b_i) \in \theta$ , so

$$(\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n), \phi^A(b_1, \dots, b_n)) \in \theta$$

and

$$\phi^A(b_1, \dots, b_n) = \phi^B(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in B$$

so  $\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in B^\theta$ . □

**Theorem 3 (Third Isomorphism Theorem, universal algebra version)**

Let  $A$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$  algebra,  $B$  its substructure, and  $\theta$  a congruence of  $A$ . Then there is an isomorphism

$$\frac{B}{(\theta|_B)} \longrightarrow \frac{B^\theta}{(\theta|_{B^\theta})}$$

given by  $f \left( \frac{b}{(\theta|_B)} \right) = \frac{b}{(\theta|_{B^\theta})}$ .

## References

- [1] Burris, Sankappanavar, A course in Universal Algebra,  
[www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~snburris/htdocs/ualg.html](http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~snburris/htdocs/ualg.html).